

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12512

Claimant:CITY OF RICHMOND,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12513

Claimant:CITY OF RICHMOND,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12514

Claimant:HYATT EQUITIES,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12515

Claimant:SHELL CANADA PRODUCTS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12516

Claimant:SHELL CANADA PRODUCTS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12517

Claimant:SHELL CANADA PRODUCTS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12518

Claimant:SHELL CANADA PRODUCTS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12519

Claimant:PROVIDENCE HEALTH CARE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12520

Claimant:PROVIDENCE HEALTH CARE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12521

Claimant:PROVIDENCE HEALTH CARE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12522

Claimant:PROVIDENCE HEALTH CARE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12523

Claimant:PROVIDENCE HEALTH CARE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12526

Claimant:HUDSON`S BAY COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12527

Claimant:HUDSON`S BAY COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12528

Claimant:HUDSON`S BAY COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12530

Claimant:HUDSON`S BAY COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12531

Claimant:HUDSON`S BAY COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12532

Claimant:HUDSON`S BAY COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12533

Claimant:CONSEILLERS IMMOBILIERS GWL INC,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12534

Claimant:GREAT WEST LIFE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12535

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12536

Claimant:CANADIAN IMPERIAL BANK OF COMMERCE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12537

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12538

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12539

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12540

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12541

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12542

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12543

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12545

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12546

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12547

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12548

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12549

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12550

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12551

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12552

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12553

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12554

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12556

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12557

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12558

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12559

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12560

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12561

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12562

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12563

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12564

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12565

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12566

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12567

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12568

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12570

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12571

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12572

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12573

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12574

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12575

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12576

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12577

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12578

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12579

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12580

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12581

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12582

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12583

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12584

Claimant:CITY OF VANCOUVER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12585

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12586

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.